Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/769,686	EDWARDS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory M. Desire	2624
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Gregory M. Desire</u> .	(3)	
(2) Applicant representative William Schaal.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 June 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 18-28, 30-31 and 33-34		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Dregry Desné		
(Examined SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature - if appropriate)

Application No. 10/177,480

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 18-28, 30-31 and 33-34 cite a computer readable medium. The specification page 6 describes a computer readable medium to include a carrier wave. Thus, claims 18-28, 30-31 and 33-34 are described as signal claims. The examiner suggested amending claims 18-28, 30-31 and 33-34 to be limited to computer storage medium. Thus, claiming away from a signal claim. Claims 18-28, 30-31 and 33-34 were ameneded to read a computer- readable storage medium.